



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vuginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/880,612 | 06/13/2001 | Kenji Mukai | NAK1-BP14 | 7017 |
| 75 | 590 06/17/2003 | | | |
| Joseph W. Price PRICE, GESS & UBELL 2100 S.E. Main St., Ste. 250 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | PHAM, HOA Q | |
| | Irvine, CA 92614 | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2877 | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 06/17/2003 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | A) |
|---|---|---|-----------------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Östina Antinu Communi | 09/880,612 | MUKAI ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
|) | Hoa Q. Pham | 2877 | <u> </u> |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply | pears on the cover sheet v | with the correspondence ad | dress |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of the difference of the cause the application to become a status of the cause the application to become a status of the cause the application to be | a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timel DNTHS from the mailing date of this or ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 | March 2003 | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T | his action is non-final. | | |
| Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims | | | e merits is |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-83</u> is/are pending in the application | on. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) 8-83 is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7</u> is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 4-6</u> is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 3</u> is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ | or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin | | the Everniner | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the | | | |
| 1.1) The proposed drawing correction filed on | | disapproved by the Examin | er |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in re | | alcappiotod by the Examin. | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig | gn priority under 35 U.S.C | . § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | , , - | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documen | nts have been received. | | : |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documen | nts have been received in | Application No | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis | ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)) | | Stage |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | tic priority under 35 U.S.C | c. § 119(e) (to a provisional | l application). |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes | | | , |
| Attachment(s) | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of | w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No of Informal Patent Application (PT | |
| | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 4-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ikeda et al (5,071,727).

Ikeda et al discloses a method for evaluating whiteness of light from a light source comprising the steps of (a) calculating chroma (C) (column 10, lines 20-21), calculating whiteness from the chroma using an equation (1) w= aC + b ... (it is noted that the equation in column 10, line 7 of Ikeda et al is in the same form of the equation (1) of the present invention). Ikeda et al does not explicitly teach that the chroma is calculated by a method defined by the CIE 1997 Interim Color Appearance Model, however, it would have been obvious to use replace the calculating method of Ikeda et al by this method because they are function in the same manner.

Regarding claims 4-6, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to choose the coefficients a and b.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 7 is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 09/880,612

Art Unit: 2877

4. Claims 2-3 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 3/24/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As mentioned above the Ikeda et al reference read on the claimed invention, especially equation in column 10, line 7. As understood, equation can be derives as follow:

$$W=1-1/40\{C^2+[4(10-V)]^2\}^{1/2}$$

$$W = -1/40\{C^2 + [4(10-V)]^2\}^{1/2} + 1$$

When: +[4(10-V)]² is a very small number, we have

$$W = -1/40\{C^2\}^{1/2} + 1$$

Thus, W=-1/40C + 1

Let a=-1/40 and b=1, W=aC+b, therefore the equation is the same as equation claimed in present claim 1.

Thus, in view of the foregoing, it is believed that the Ikeda et al read on the claimed invention.

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 2877

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoa Q. Pham whose telephone number is (703) 308-4808. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 AM to 5 PM, Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank G. Font can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Hoa Q. Pham

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2877

HP

June 14, 2003